UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, Judge

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

NO. C 20-05640 YGR

APPLE, INC., Pages 1 - 8

Defendant. ) Oakland, California
\_\_\_\_\_) Tuesday, April 13, 2021

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM WEBINAR PROCEEDINGS

## APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM:

For Plaintiff: Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

BY: GARY A. BORNSTEIN,

KATHERINE B. FORREST, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

For Defendant: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

333 South Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, California 90071

BY: JAGANNATHAN P. SRINIVASAN,

ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Appearances continued next page)

Reported By: Raynee H. Mercado, CSR No. 8258

Proceedings reported by electronic/mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D.)
2	
3	For Defendant: Gibson Dunn Crutcher LLP 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 1100
4	Dallas, Texas 75201 BY: VERONICA S. MOYE, ATTORNEY AT LAW
5	DI. VERONICA S. MOTE, ATTORNET AT HAW
6	
7	
8	000
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
      Tuesday, April 13, 2021
                                                          2:59 p.m.
 2
                           PROCEEDINGS
 3
                               (Zoom Webinar)
                THE CLERK: Calling civil action 20-5640, Epic Games,
 4
 5
      Inc. versus Apple, Inc.
 6
          And, counsel, please state your appearances.
 7
               MS. FORREST: Good afternoon, Your Honor. It's
 8
      Katherine Forrest for Epic.
 9
               MR. BORNSTEIN: And good afternoon, Your Honor. Gary
      Bornstein for Epic as well.
10
11
               MS. MOYE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Veronica Moye
12
      for Apple.
13
               MR. SRINIVASAN: And good afternoon, Your Honor. Jay
14
      Srinivasan for Apple as well.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
16
          I sent out or had chambers send out that quick note to you
17
      because I became a little concerned in light of the admin
18
      motion that I received in the other Apple case with -- with
19
      the -- the MDL -- the class action, not MDL.
20
          But it occurred to me that people might be misapprehending
21
      the nature of the access during the trial, so I thought I
22
      should reach out pretty quickly and make sure that there was
23
      no miscommunication about what the Administrative Office of
      the Courts allows and doesn't allow.
24
25
          Does everyone understand that only the people in the
```

courtroom will see what is happening, and everything else is only by audio?

Does everybody understand that? Or did you think it was different?

MS. FORREST: We understand that, Your Honor. And we are not seeking anything in addition to that, to the audio stream. That's sufficient for us.

MS. MOYE: And, Your Honor, in light of your note today, we of course understand it. I think we had hoped and contemplated that perhaps we could have video feed to our war room in -- in light of the limited number of people that would be allowed in the courtroom so that, for example, our experts could watch testimony in the way they could if they were going to sit in the courtroom during a live proceeding.

So that was the only thing we had potentially contemplated if it was acceptable, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's not allowed. I have already told you what is allowed, and that is the -- the only exception is this Cameras in the Courtroom program. I sent you -- I don't know -- weeks -- I mean, I raised this last fall, I think, or last winter and then reminded you about it recently in terms of that kind of access. You haven't taken me up on the offer.

And -- and the other piece of that is that it, you know, didn't even have to be for the whole trial. You could have agreed on which pieces would be streamed. And, you know, but

```
1
       you chose not to do that, so it's --
 2
           It's not an issue of me. It's an issue of what the
 3
      Administrative Office of the Courts allows, and that is not
      allowed.
 4
 5
               MS. MOYE: Understood, Your Honor, and we appreciate
 6
      the clarity.
 7
           It was a proposal I think the parties contemplated making
       in the short future to Your Honor, but we will not because we
 8
 9
      understand that it is not permitted.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, look, I -- I think access
      to public proceedings is really important. That's why I
11
      participate in the other program. No one ever takes me up on
12
13
       it. It's why I record -- you know, why -- you know, not all
14
      my colleagues will go on Zoom. I mean, we've had lots of
15
      people watching all this pretrial stuff. And I think it's
16
       great. And I wouldn't mind doing it except for the fact that
       I can't. So --
17
18
                         (Simultaneous colloquy.)
19
               THE COURT: -- it is what it is.
20
               MS. MOYE: We understand, Your Honor.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. The other thing since I have you
22
      on the platform, is there -- there's this -- there's this
23
      issue with Judge Laporte.
24
          Look, you all have filed hundreds of pages of documents
25
       that I am trying to get through prior to this trial so that we
```

can be as efficient as possible. You can always stipulate to do things.

So here are your options. I am not appointing a special master because that then implies that you've got appellate rights. I'm not giving you appellate rights on these discovery and deposition designations.

Your options are the following: You can either agree to stipulate to Judge Laporte resolving those issues for you and being the final arbiter and put that in writing and I will stamp it. Or on Monday morning, I will sit in the courtroom and I will read your designations, and I will rule from the bench. And all of that time is going to be allocated against you. I am not doing that before.

(Simultaneous colloquy.)

**THE COURT:** Does everybody understand?

MS. MOYE: We understand.

THE COURT: Ms. Forrest, what is your concern? Why do I have to -- why can't you stipulate?

MS. FORREST: Your Honor, it's -- there may be some ability to stipulate to what we have, which is I think a -- a modest concern. And it's not -- we're certainly not trying to burden you with resolving these or reviewing these or having additional paper come your way.

It's simply if there were, for instance, a situation where Judge Laporte misapprehended the law and excluded, for

instance, some important testimony and did that by way of resolving for either side a designation objection against one side or the other, there might be an issue that the parties would want to preserve for any potential appeal.

That can be done, if Your Honor is okay with it, by way of just simply saying that Judge Laporte's rulings can be taken as Your Honor's rulings or something along those lines in terms of fully appealable, as they would be as if they had occurred in your court.

But it's simply -- it's simply for that. We don't even necessarily anticipate anything like that. It's just prudent because we don't know what her rulings will be to forgo that possibility.

THE COURT: You can stipulate, it seems to me. The proposed form of order that you gave me, I believe, involves all sorts of appellate rights to me, which I'm not interested in giving you.

MS. FORREST: Understood, Your Honor.

And I think that the -- that the better way of proceeding would simply to be to allow the parties to stipulate right here right now that Judge Laporte can make final decisions, and any appellate rights will be preserved to the extent they even exist.

And we're not waiving any appellate rights by having that occur through her -- her resolution of these issues.

```
1
           If that's acceptable to Apple, it's acceptable certainly
 2
      to us, and that resolves it once and for all.
 3
               MS. MOYE: As we've said before, from Apple's point
 4
      of view, we were fine with stipulating to Judge Laporte being
 5
      the final arbiter. We saw no need for appointment of a
 6
      special master.
 7
                THE COURT: I think you should do that in writing so
 8
      that the stipulation is clear to both sides and there's no
 9
      disagreement, withdraw the pending motion, and then we'll move
10
      on from there.
11
               MS. MOYE: Understood, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Okay?
13
               MS. FORREST: Yep. Makes sense.
14
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
               MS. FORREST: All right.
16
               MS. MOYE: Thank you.
17
               MR. SRINIVASAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
               MS. FORREST:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned.
20
                 (Proceedings were concluded at 3:07 P.M.)
21
                                  --000--
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Rayne J. Mercado Raynee H. Mercado, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR Friday, June 2, 2023